

The Hon. Robert S. Lasnik

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

ROBERT RUIZ PEREZ, and
PRINCETON BROWN,

Defendants.

NO. CR19-106 RSL

[PROPOSED]

**PROTECTIVE ORDER
RESTRAINING CERTAIN
FORFEITABLE PROPERTY**

THIS MATTER comes before the Court on the United States' Motion for Entry of a Protective Order Restraining Certain Forfeitable Property, as follows:

1. Approximately \$1,010.25 in U.S. currency, seized on or about May 22, 2019 from Robert Ruiz Perez in Kent, Washington;
2. Approximately \$207 in U.S. currency, seized on or about May 22, 2019 from Princeton Brown in Kent, Washington;
3. Assorted jewelry, seized on or about May 22, 2019 from Princeton Brown in Kent, Washington; and
4. Assorted jewelry, seized on or about May 22, 2019 from a 2008 Ford Edge, Washington license plate DP59503, in Kent, Washington.

1 The Court, having reviewed the papers and pleadings filed in this matter, hereby
2 FINDS entry of a protective order restraining the above-described property (collectively,
3 the “Subject Property”) is appropriate because:

- 4 • The Defendant, Princeton Brown, has been charged by Superseding
5 Indictment with offenses including, as set forth in Count 1, *Attempted*
6 *Possession with Intent to Distribute - Cocaine*, in violation of 21 U.S.C.
7 §§ 841(a)(1), 841(b)(1)(B) and 846, (Dkt. No. 60, at 1–2);
- 8 • The Superseding Indictment provided notice of the United States’ intent to
9 seek the forfeiture of property, including the Subject Property, pursuant to 21
10 U.S.C. § 853(a), (Dkt. No. 60, at 5);
- 11 • Based upon the facts set forth in the Complaint filed against Defendant Robert
12 Ruiz Perez on May 23, 2019 (Dkt. No. 1) and in Defendant Perez’s Plea
13 Agreement (Dkt. No. 39), there is probable cause to believe that the Subject
14 Property is subject to forfeiture in this case; and
- 15 • To ensure that the Subject Property remains available for forfeiture, its
16 continued restraint, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 853(e)(1), is appropriate.

17
18 NOW, THEREFORE, THE COURT ORDERS:

19 1. The United States’ request for a protective order restraining the Subject
20 Property pending the conclusion of this case is GRANTED; and

21 ///

22 ///

23 ///

2. The Subject Property shall remain in the custody of the United States, to include its federal agencies and/or their authorized agents or representatives, pending the conclusion of criminal forfeiture proceedings and/or further order of this Court.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 1st day of Nov., 2019.

MTS Casnik

THE HON. ROBERT S. LASNIK
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Presented by:

/s/ Neal B. Christiansen

Neal B. Christiansen
Assistant United States Attorney
700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220
Seattle, WA 98101-1271
(206) 553-2242
Neal.Christiansen2@usdoj.gov